FPSPI Global Issues Problem Solving Team

Problem:		Evaluator #:		Division:		<b>B</b> 0	oklet #:			
Step 1 Scoring Guide	lines									
Fluency - A Yes challenge		uency is determined	l by totaling the	number of Yes cha	ıllenges ar	nd using the	e following	g scale:		
logical cause or effect of t tions in the Future Scene.	he situa- Score	Number of Yes	-	1 2 3		-6 7-8		1-12 1	3-14	15-16
tions in the rature seeme.	Score	Number of point	s awarded =	1 2 3	4	5 6	7	8	9	10
Flexibility - Measures t		exibility is determin	ned by totaling t		_		ified.			
ber of different categorie challenges	Score	Number of distir		1 2 3 1 2 3		5 6 <b>5</b> 6	7	8 <b>8</b>	9 <b>9</b>	10 <b>10</b>
Clarity - Tells what the co									_	elear descriptions
why it is a concern, and re	lates it to is;	cause-effect reasoning	g may be detail;	cause-effect reasoning	g is detail;	most cause-	effect rela-			gical cause-effect
the Future Scene				or takes leaps 4 5	6 tionshi	ps make sen		relations		9 10
Originality - Three bonus	points may b	e awarded to any Y	es challenge that	at shows unique cre	ativity or	insight inte	o the future	e scene.		
#   Y   Category #	O P, V	W, S, D   Feedback or	Step 1 Challeng	ges						
1							Yes -	challe	enge h	as a chance of
2							٦, .	occur	_	1.
3							Perhaps - Why -			ambiguous oes not relate to
4							7	Futur	re Sce	ne
5							Solution-	<ul> <li>staten</li> <li>challe</li> </ul>		a solution to a
6							Duplicate			o similar to
7	+ +						┪	anoth	ier 'Yo	es' challenge
8	+ +						-	Cate	egory l	List
	+						1. Arts &	Aestheti	٠.	1. Law & Justice
9							2. Basic			2. Miscellaneous
10							3. Busine Comm			<ol> <li>Physical Healt</li> <li>Psychological</li> </ol>
11	+-						4. Comm			Health
12							5. Defens			<ol> <li>Recreation</li> <li>Social</li> </ol>
13							6. Econor 7. Educat		1	Relationships
14							8. Enviro	onment		7. Technology
15							9. Ethics 10. Gove			8. Transportation
16							Politi		X	
	FI	luency (1-10)	Flexibility (1-	10) Clari	ity (1-10)	Ori	ginality (x3)			Total
Step 1 Scores										
Step 2 Scoring Gu	idalinas			•						
Condition Phrase			Inggarrata info	rmation or unrelated	to Procent	. nagurata a	nd related to		—	
Score	Not present	(		imation of uniciated	1 Future		2			
Stem and KVP	Key Verb Ph		Present but mu	ltiple verb phrases	4					contains a sing
	present	0		re than one or repeats	1 modifie	ers t but no clea		active		
Purpose (P) Score	Not present	(		re than one or repeats	1 ship to					ingular with on to KVP
Future Scene	0 or 1 Param		2 Parameters p	resent		place, and ti				
Parameters Score		0			1		2	-		
Focus of Underlying Problem	Restates, bro	padens, or ignores FS		too narrow KVP wi UP not clearly worde	th UP con d; goal or	tains a good purpose is	l KVP, the evident and			P that ties direct fined, clearly
Froblem	No purpose	or not connected to	multiple KVP of	or purpose		ses Future S	cene charge	written	n purpo	se and addresses
Score	CP CP	se repeats KVP and/or 1 2 3		4 5	6		7 8	Future	Scene	charge 9 10
Adequacy/Importance of		oadens, or ignores FS		r issue from the Futur						major, importa
Underlying Problem	No purpose	or not connected to	Scene	from th	e Future Sc	ene	issue from the Future Scene  9 10			
Score	KVP. Purpo	se repeats KVP and/or 1 2 3		6		7 8				
Score	Conditio		KVP (0 - 3)	4 5 Purpose (0 - 3)	FSP (0 - 2)	Focus		Adequa	CV (1-1	
Step 2 Scores			(* 0)	Turpose (0-3)	(U-2)	1 000.	9 (1 10)	- Taxoqua	o, (c 1	
Condition Phrase:		ı		ı						
Stem (circle): "How might	we" or "In w	hat ways might we	"							
Key Verb Phrase (KVP):										
Purpose:										
Future Scene Parameters:	Topic	Place_		Time						
Comments:										
Overall Scoring Gu	uidelines									
_		vidence of research	Average evid	ence of research	h Notice	able evic	dence of	  Evide	nce o	f research an
		epts, issues, trends								
Score	1	2 3	group 4	5 6	trends	7 8		readily	appa	rent 9 10
Creative Strength		vidence of creative								ay of inventive,
Original, productive,	thinking 1	2 3	evident in part							eas throughout
thinking Score				5 6	<u> </u>	the ordina	,	the boo		9 10
Futuristic Thinking Relevant trends and tech-	Minimal ev	ridence of futuristic	Average futur group	ristic ideas for ag		tic concept out bookle				turistic concept how ideas
nologies projected into the		emiologics	Stoup		lanough	ioui bookie	<i>,</i> i			e society
future Score		2 3	4	5 6		7 8		7.300		9 10
	Resea	rch Applied (1-10)	Creative S	Strength (1-10)	Futur	istic Think	ing (1-10)		1	<b>Fotal</b>
<b>Overall Scores</b>		**								

**Overall Comments:** 

			ng Guide		Eluana	v is data	ined by total	ing the m	mbor -	f rola-	iont a	dution	idoos -	nd ucie	r tha fal	lowina -	onlo:	
			levant solu VP and sup				ned by total		imber o	f refev	vant so 3	olution 4	ideas a	ind using 7-8	g the fol 9-10	11-12		15-16
ırpo		11	жи очр	Score			of points av		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Elaboration - Any relevant solution   Elaboration is dete						ermined by to	otaling the	e numb	er of e	labora	ated so	lutions	in relev	ant solu	tion idea	s:		
idea that includes at least 3 who, what,					Numb	Number of elaborated solution ideas: 1 2 3 4 5-6 7-8 9-10 11-12 13-14 1										15-16		
why, how, where and when elements Score					of points aw		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
exi	hility	- Mea	asures the n		+	Flexibility is determined by totaling the number of different categories ide							entified					
different categories in relevant solu-			Number of categories: 1 2 3 4 5								7	8	9	10				
	deas			Score	Number of points awarded = 1 2 3 4 5 may be awarded to any relevant solution idea that shows unique creat									8	9	10		
ig	inali	<b>ty</b> - T	hree bonu							a tha	t shov	vs uni	que cre					
	R	E	Category	# <b>O</b>	P, W, or	D Feedba	ick on Step 3	solution id	leas							solution		
																d suppor		
															ily infer	to purpo	ose is ou	vious oi
																<b>n:</b> soluti	on idea	explains
																ee of the	who, wh	at, why,
															l how el			1
															haps: 1	relations	hip to K	VP and
	Н													_ ^		unclear related to	o UP	
	Н															solution		too sim
)	$\vdash$	-												to a	nother i	relevant	solution	
_	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash$												<b>-</b>	0 4 (	Categor		0.1
)	$\vdash \vdash$														s & Aest			iw & Just iscellane
l	$\Box$													3. Bu	siness &	Commerc	e 13. Pl	nysical H
2														4. Co. 5. De	mmunica	tion		sychologi ealth
3															onomics			eann ecreation
4														7. Ed	ucation		16. Sc	ocial
5															vironmentics & Re			elationshi
6																nt & Polit		
								Fluency	(1-10)	Elab	oratio	n (1-10)	Flexi	bility (1-8		riginality		Total
mn	nents:	<u> </u>				Step	3 Scores							•				
re	ctly V	Vritte	ring Guid				ed for each o										ude all	
			direction	Score	unee. a	Superiative		of corre								nection.		
		to the						tal Relev	·						-			
				Score		0 1	2 3	4 5	6 7	8	9	10	11	12 1	3 14	15		
rre	ctly I	Used			Grid has	s 3 or more	errors: top	Grid cor	ntains 2	error	s T	Gri	d conta	ins 1 err	or	Grid co	ontains r	o errors
	cerj (	0000				not used in		0114 001		01101		011	u • 011111		0.	0114 0		011015
				Score		1 2			3					4			5	
					Ster	4-5 Scor	es Corre	ctly Writte	en (0-5)		Releva	ance (0-	15)	Co	rrectly U	sed (1	1-5)	Total Poi
Τ,	W (a)	A =	Advanced	A /34 ·	-		Steps 4-5 C	omments										
+	CW (√)	4^-	3 points	A/M/	G/D/NR	Rel Pts												
$\perp$		M =	Modified															
L		G =	2 points Generic				]											
		1	1 point															
_		<b>-I</b> I) =	Duplicate	<u> </u>			1											

		a.	4.50	Correctly Written (0-5)	Relevance (0-15)	Correctly Used	(1-5)	Total Points
		Ste	p 4-5 Score	es				
	$CW(\sqrt{)}$ A = Advanced	A/M/G/D/NR	Rel Pts	Steps 4-5 Comments:				
1	3 points M = Modified							
2	2 points G = Generic							
3	1 point D = Duplicate							
4	0 points	,						
5	NR = Not Relevan 0 points	t						
		Total						

Step 6 Scoring Guidelin	nes										
Relevance - Measures the plan's	's	Action Plan does not		Action Plan has some		1	Action Plan does a good		Action Plan h	as an	
relationship to the Underlying	- 1	address the UP		relation to the UP; another		er j	job of addressing the UP		excellent relationship to		
Problem	- 1			solution migh	nt be better		-		the UP		
S	Score		1	2 3				4	5		
Effectiveness - Measures the po	oten-	Action	Plan does little to	Action Plan	solves son	me /	Action Plan	n adequately	Action Plan completely		
tial ability of the Action Plan to	.	solve the	e UP				solves UP		solves UP		
successfully solve the UP S	Score		1	2 3				4	5		
<b>Impact</b> - Measures the positive	;			Effect on the Future Scene							
effect of the Action Plan on the	- 1	UP scored low in adequacy		is not strong; UP low in		in l	Future Scene; UP of aver-		Future Scene; UP high in		
Future Scene S	Score	. 1		adequacy 2 3		8	age adequacy 4		adequacy 5		
Humaneness - Measures the		Negative or destructive Ac-		Action Plan is neutral -		ıl - (	Constructive potential		Action Plan is positive and		
productive, positive potential of	f the	tion Plan		neither positive nor		or	evident		constructive		
Action Plan So	core	1 2		negative 3			4		5		
Development of Action Plan -	The	Minimal description of plan;		Plan provides some elabora-		ra- I	Plan explains the who,		Plan structured and well		
degree to which the team explains				tion; more support of ideas		eas v	what, why, and how in detail		elaborated detailing more		
its plan		*		needed					than the basic W-W-W-H		
So	core		1 2 3	4	5 6		7	8	elements 9	10	
Re	elevance	2 (1-5)	Effectiveness (1-5)	Impact (1-	5) Hun	maner	ness (1-5)	Dev. Action	Plan (1-10)	Total	
Step 6 Scores											

Steps 6 Comments:

Total Score Team # **Total Points** Rank in sample